Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 1 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: RE: .msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Gerardo Interiano [ginteriano@gmail.com]

Sent:

Friday, April 22, 2011 1:55 PM

To:

'Eric Opiela'

Subject:

RE:

Attachments:

SSVR.xlsx

Below 55 of what number...don't share this with ANYONE but here is a comparison of the SSVR districts. Nixon map drops it by the current benchmark of 29 to 27.

As far as Republican seats, he is overreaching. He drew two seats in Travis and both were lost by McCain and Perry.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 12:35 PM

To: Denise Davis (home) **Cc:** Gerardo Interiano

Subject: Fwd:

Do y'all have the data on # VRA and # of GOP under 55% comparison between Solomons/Nixon State House Plans for Lamar? See below. If y'all are too busy, just let me know and I can load it up and do the counts, but with 300 districts between the two, I thought I'd ask to see if you had that already.

Thanks

EO

Begin forwarded message:

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Date: April 22, 2011 12:19:05 PM CDT

To: "Eric Opiela" < eopiela@ericopiela.com >, "Jennifer Brown"

<Jennifer.Y.Brown@mail.house.gov> Reply-To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Met w tea party folks in sa this morn. They brought up st house redist and favor the nixon map over the solomons map bec it creates more gop dists. I told them re vra and asked them to ck to see how many of nixon dists were below 55. I didn't kno answer but wanted to raise questions about it. Is solomons map better in that regard.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 3 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: SSVR.xlsx


FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

m m		NOXIN-	168,636	Eliminated Nuec	169,887	170,896	173,981	169,364	167,793	175,383	159,381	172,374	164,470	171,735	162,815	159,691	159,760	159,949	160,658	174,191	Dropped	Dropped	171,463	171,249	161,851	159,302	175,674	174,823	174,549	172,794	172,147	168,480	Dropped	
٥			31	Elimina	34	35	38	37	38	33	6	41	42	43	74	75	92	. 11	79	80			116	117	118	119	123	124	125	140	143	145	٥	
_				1	3			1	6	W	7			N.		i,	R			TQ.				5		STATE OF		To the						
_			Ĝ															S												d				
0			ďn		ηD	Down	Up	Down	Up	Up	Down	ηD	Up	dΩ	ηD	Up	Down	Down	Up	Up	ηD	Down	Down	Down	Up	Down	Down	Down	Up	Down	Down	Down	ηD	14/30 Down
z		#2 - 30	91.30%	es District	63.70%	53.40%	82.30%	78.00%	77.90%	83.10%	64.60%	86.70%	800.98	72.40%	800.89	81.20%	81.30%	66.40%	69.30%	78.90%	50.10%	50.10%	51.60%	50.10%	80:30%	51.40%	54.30%	54.60%	59.10%	51.70%	52.10%	50.50%	20.30%	
Σ	MPARISON	SUBSTITUTE #2 - 30	Up	Eliminated Nueces District	Up	Up	Up	Up	Up	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Up	Down	Down	Down	Down	Down	Down	Up	Up	Up	Down	Down	Up	Up	Up	Up	Down	Up	Up	11/30 Down
1	RATION CO		168,636	Ell	169,887	172,482	173,981	169,364	167,793	175,383	159,381	172,374	160,647	171,735	170,005	159,691	159,760	159,949	160,658	160,252	159,352	172,784	171,463	171,249	161,851	159,180	175,674	174,823	174,549	172,794	165,808	173,161	170,196	
¥	REGIST		31		34	35	36	37	88	39	40	41	42	43	74	75	76	77	79	8	90	104	116	117	118	119	123	124	125	140	143	145	148	
٦	ME VOTER																																The second second	
	100																																	
_	PANISH SURNA		Up		Up	Down	Up	Down	ηD	Up	Down	ηb	ηD	Up	Up	Up	Down	Down	Up	υp		Down	Down	Dawn	Up	Down	Down	Down	Up	Down	Down	Down		14/28 Down
- н	SPANISH SURNAME VOTER REGISTRATION COMPARISON	D - 28	91.30% Up	ces District			85.30% Up	78.00% Down	77.90% Up	83.10% Up	64.60% Down	86.70% Up	86.00% Up	72.40% Up	68.00% Up	81.20% Up	81.30% Down	66.40% Down	69.30% Up	78.90% Up	90	50.10% Down	51.60% Down	50.10% Dawn	60.30% Up	51.40% Down	54.30% Down	54.60% Down	59.10% Up	51.70% Down	55.60% Down	61.70% Down		14/28 Down
В Н В	SPANISH SURNA	PROPOSED - 28		ninated Nueces District	63.70%																Added 90		Ц			_							Added 148	728 Dawn
	SPANISH SURMA	PROPOSED - 28	91.30%	Eliminated Nueces District	Up 63.70%	Up 53.40%	82.30%	78.00%	77.90%	83.10%	64.60%	86.70%	86.00%	72.40%	%00.89	81.20%	81.30%	66.40%	%08:69	78.90%	Added 90	50.10%	51.60%	50.10%	Down 60.30%	51.40%	54.30%	54.60%	59.10%	51.70%	25.60%	61.70%		
9	SPANISH SURMA	PROPOSED - 28	Up 91.30%	Eliminated Nueces District	169,887 Up 63.70%	Up 53.40%	Up 85.30%	Up 78.00%	Up 77.90%	Up 83.10%	Down 64.60%	Up 86.70%	Down 86.00%	Up 72.40%	Up 68.00%	Down 81.20%	Down 81.30%	Down 66.40%	Down 69.30%	Down 78.90%	Added 90	Up 50.10%	Up 51.60%	Up 50.10%	Down 60.30%	Down 51.40%	Up 54.30%	Up 54.60%	Up 59.10%	Up 51.70%	Up 55.60%	Up 61.70%		728 Dawn
F	SPANISH SURNA	PROPOSED - 28	168,636 Up 91.30%	Eliminated Nueces District	169,887 Up 63.70%	172,482 Up 53.40%	173,981 Up 85.30%	169,364 Up 78.00%	167,793 Up 77.90%	175,383 Up 83.10%	159,381 Down 64.60%	172,374 Up 86.70%	160,647 Down 86.00%	171,735 Up 72.40%	170,005 Up 68.00%	159,691 Down 81.20%	159,760 Down 81.30%	159,949 Down 66.40%	160,658 Down 69.30%	160,252 Down 78.90%	Added 90	172,784 Up 50.10%	171,463 Up 51.60%	171,249 Up 50.10%	161,851 Down 60.30%	159,180 Down 51.40%	175,674 Up 54.30%	174,823 Up 54.60%	174,549 Up 59.10%	172,794 Up 51.70%	172,147 Up 55.60%	168,480 Up 61.70%		728 Dawn
E F G	SPANISH SURNA		168,636 Up 91.30%	55.30% Elim	53.80% 34 169,887 Up 63.70%	35 172,482 Up 53.40%	173,981 Up 85.30%	37 169,364 Up 78.00%	167,793 Up 77.90%	175,383 Up 83.10%	159,381 Down 64.60%	172,374 Up 86.70%	160,647 Down 86.00%	71.80% 43 171,735 Up 72.40%	58.10%	75.90% 75 159,691 Down 81.20%	159,760 Down 81.30%	159,949 Down 66.40%	160,658 Down 69.30%	160,252 Down 78.90%		58.30% 104 172,784 Up 50.10%	57.00% 116 171,463 Up 51.60%	50.80%	55.20% 118 161,851 Down 60.30%	56.60% 119 159,180 Down 51.40%	57.90% 123 175,674 Up 54.30%	174,823 Up 54.60%	174,549 Up 59.10%	172,794 Up 51.70%	172,147 Up 55.60%	168,480 Up 61.70%	Added 148	728 Dawn
D E F G	SPANISH SURNA	CURRENT - 29 PROPOSED - 28	31 168,636 Up 91.30%	55.30% Elim	53.80% 34 169,887 Up 63.70%	55.30% 35 172,482 Up 53.40%	82.30% 36 173,981 Up 85.30%	80.80% 37 169,364 Up 78.00%	38 167,793 Up 77.90%	39 175,383 Up 83.10%	40 159,381 Down 64.60%	41 172,374 Up 86.70%	42 160,647 Down 86.00%	43 171,735 Up 72.40%	74 170,005 Up 68.00%	75 159,691 Down 81.20%	84.40% 76 159,760 Down 81.30%	77 159,949 Down 66.40%	79 160,658 Down 69.30%	80 160,252 Down 78.90%	Added 90 Added 90	104 172,784 Up 50.10%	116 171,463 Up 51.60%	117 171,249 Up 50.10%	152,809 55.20% 118 161,851 Down 60.30%	157,106 56.60% 119 159,180 Down 51.40%	123 175,674 Up 54.30%	124 174,823 Up 54.60%	125 174,549 Up 59.10%	140 172,794 Up 51.70%	143 172,147 Up 55.60%	145 168,480 Up 61.70%		728 Dawn
C D E F G	SPANISH SURWA		90.90% 31 168,636 Up 91.30%	55.30% Elim	34 143,582 53.80% 34 169,887 Up 63.70%	151,882 55.30% 35 172,482 Up 53.40%	82.30% 36 173,981 Up 85.30%	142,621 80.80% 37 169,364 Up 78.00%	182,363 76.90% 38 167,793 Up 77.90%	82.40% Up 83.10%	86.90% 40 159,381 Down 64.60%	69.20% 41 172,374 Up 86.70%	84.80% 42 160,647 Down 86.00%	71.80% 43 171,735 Up 72.40%	58.10%	75.90% 75 159,691 Down 81.20%	84.40% 76 159,760 Down 81.30%	73.10% T7 159,949 Down 66.40%	65.20% 79 160,658 Down 69.30%	69.70% 80 160,252 Down 78.90%		58.30% 104 172,784 Up 50.10%	57.00% 116 171,463 Up 51.60%	50.80% 117 171,249 Up 50.10%	55.20% 118 161,851 Down 60.30%	56.60% 119 159,180 Down 51.40%	132,442 57.90% 123 175,674 Up 54.30%	56.10% 124 174,823 Up 54.60%	56.10% 125 174,549 Up 59.10%	59.30% 140 172,794 Up 51.70%	66.00% Up 55.60%	66.20% 145 168,480 Up 61.70%	Added 148	728 Dawn

_																						•											_	
S		22	91.30%	es District	63.70%	53.90%	85.30%	78.00%	77.90%	83.10%	64.60%	86.70%	82.80%	72.40%	25.20%	81.20%	81.30%	66.40%	%08'69	85.40%	90	104	51.60%	50.10%	80:30%	51.40%	54.30%	54.60%	59.10%	51.70%	55.60%	61.70%	148	
	-	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	77	23	24	25	56	27	28	59	30	31	32	33	34

_															
ø															
۵															
-															
0															
H															
z															
Н															
Σ															
\vdash															
×															
-															
Г			٦		_		٥						_		
_			Down	ď	Down	ηD	Down	B :	g (Down	d D	Up	Down		
			Ц		_		_	1	1	1	\perp				
			Ц	42.90% Up	\perp		_	1	1	43.90% Down	\perp	47.50% Up	43.30% Down		
_			47.30%	42.90%	45.10%	40.80%	57.40%	42.50%	50.40%	43.90%	46.60%	47.50%	43.30%		
- н	NOI		Ц		45.10%	40.80%	57.40%	42.50%	50.40%	1	46.60%		43.30%		
g	NOI	SUBSTITUTE #2 - 12	Down 47.30%	Down 42.90%	Down 45.10%	Down 40.80%	Up 57.40%	Down 42.50%	Down 50.40%	Up 43.90%	Down 46.60%	Down 47.50%	Up 43.30%		
_	NOI		159,488 Down 47.30%	Down 42.90%	161,689 Down 45.10%	161,143 Down 40.80%	174,176 Up 57.40%	167,547 Down 42.50%	166,9/9 Down 50.40%	173,797 Up 43.90%	166,369 Down 46.60%	161,877 Down 47.50%	174,240 Up 43.30%		
g	NOI		159,488 Down 47.30%	160,084 Down 42.90%	161,689 Down 45.10%	161,143 Down 40.80%	174,176 Up 57.40%	167,547 Down 42.50%	166,9/9 Down 50.40%	Up 43.90%	166,369 Down 46.60%	Down 47.50%	174,240 Up 43.30%		
F G	NOI		159,488 Down 47.30%	160,084 Down 42.90%	161,689 Down 45.10%	161,143 Down 40.80%	174,176 Up 57.40%	167,547 Down 42.50%	166,9/9 Down 50.40%	173,797 Up 43.90%	166,369 Down 46.60%	161,877 Down 47.50%	174,240 Up 43.30%		
E F G			22 159,488 Down 47.30%	160,084 Down 42.90%	95 161,689 Down 45.10%	100 161,143 Down 40.80%	109 174,176 Up 57.40%	110 167,547 Down 42.50%	111 166,979 Down 50.40%	131 173,797 Up 43.90%	141 166,369 Down 46.60%	142 161,877 Down 47.50%	146 174,240 Up 43.30%		
E F G	NOI	SUBSTITUTE #2 - 12	22 159,488 Down 47.30%	27 160,084 Down 42.90%	95 161,689 Down 45.10%	100 161,143 Down 40.80%	109 174,176 Up 57.40%	110 167,547 Down 42.50%	111 166,979 Down 50.40%	131 173,797 Up 43.90%	141 166,369 Down 46.60%	142 161,877 Down 47.50%	146 174,240 Up 43.30%		
C D E F	NOI	SUBSTITUTE #2 - 12	22 159,488 Down 47.30%	27 160,084 Down 42.90%	45.60% 95 161,689 Down 45.10%	40.30% 100 161,143 Down 40.80%	63.90% 109 174,176 Up 57.40%	42.20% 110 167,547 Down 42.50%	49:10% 111 166,9/9 Down 50.40%	47.70% 131 173,797 Up 43.90%	47.20% 135 171,500 UP 42.00% 42.80% 141 166,369 Down 46.60%	42.70% 142 161,877 Down 47.50%	47.10% Up 43.30%		
D E F G	NOI		159,488 Down 47.30%	27 160,084 Down 42.90%	155,511 45,60% 95 161,689 Down 45,10%	149,033 40.30% 100 161,143 Down 40.80%	175,255 63.90% 109 174,176 Up 57.40%	150,703 42.20% 110 167,547 Down 42.50%	163,3/4 49.10% 111 166,9/9 Down 50.40%	152,889 47.70% 131 173,797 Up 43.90% 150.010 47.70% 130 171.086 Up 43.00%	184,720 42.80% 141 166,369 Down 46.60%	154,794 42.70% 142 161,877 Down 47.50%	143,120 47.10% 146 174,240 Up 43.30%		
C D E F	NOI	SUBSTITUTE #2 - 12	22 159,488 Down 47.30%	rict 27 160,084 Down 42.90%	95 155,511 45.60% 95 161,689 Down 45.10%	149,033 40.30% 100 161,143 Down 40.80%	109 175,255 63.90% 109 174,176 Up 57.40%	110 150,703 42,20% 110 167,547 Down 42,50%	111 163,3/4 49.10% 111 166,9/9 Down 50.40%	47.70% 131 173,797 Up 43.90%	135 135,515 47.2074 155 17.1,580 UP 42.0074 141 184,720 42.80% 141 166,369 Down 46.60%	42.70% 142 161,877 Down 47.50%	47.10% Up 43.30%	118 22 23 23 24 25 26 27 28 30	31 32 33

_		Down	rict	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	цр	Down	Иp	Up	Up	Down	Up	υp	Down	Down	Down	Up	Uр	Up	Down	Down	Down	Up	Up	Down	Down	Down	Up	Down	Down	Down	t	Down	Up	17/34 Dawn		
Ξ	#2-34	94.00%	County District	\neg	\neg		86.60%	87.30%	88.50%	76.20%	93.50%	95.10%	%05'92	$\overline{}$		91.80%	\neg	_		79.90%	86.10%	\neg	%09'.29	69.20%	29.90%	62.70%		\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg		_	73.40%			69.00%			
G	SUBSTITUTE #2 - 34	Up	Eliminated Nueces C	Up	Up	ď	Up	Up	υp	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	υp	ηD	ďρ	Down	Down	Up	Up	ηD	Up	Λp	Down	Dropped Harris Co	υp	ďρ	13/34 Down								
ч		168,636	Elimir	169,887	172,482	173,981	169,364	167,793	175,383	159,381	172,374	160,647	171,735	164,991	170,005	159,691	159,760	159,949	160,589	160,658	160,252	159,352	175,326	172,784	171,463	171,249	161,851	159,180	175,674	174,823	174,549	172,840	172,794	165,808	Dro	173,161	170,196			
Б		31		34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	51	74	75	76	77	78	73	8	8	103	104	116	117	118	119	123	124	125	137	149	143		145	148			
D																																								
C	36	95.20%	61.90%	61.60%	56.40%	88.90%	89.30%	86.30%	88.10%	93.50%	81.80%	94.20%	76.30%	58.30%	65.80%	87.80%	92.40%	83.20%	62.80%	73.60%	74.30%	64.90%	69.30%	77.20%	65.50%	58.70%	64.70%	67.80%	70.30%	%09'99	65.30%	29.80%	80.70%	82.30%	50.30%	82.80%	53.70%			
В	CURRENT - 36	165,121	148,929	143,582	151,882	201,177	142,621	182,363	172,288	215,412	185,892	171,951	148,370	158,774	143,566	219,408	132,715	132,567	168,785	147,172	149,638	141,349	117,346	131,900	142,944	220,360	152,809	157,106	132,442	178,044	162,162	137,876	139,275	127,381	169,715	132,730	140,946			
Α		31	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	51	74	75	76	77	78	62	80	8	103	104	116	117	118	119	123	124	\rightarrow	137	140	143	144	\rightarrow	148			
	1	7	3	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	56	27	78	52	Ж	31	32	33	34	35	98	37	38	40 40 43 43	44



DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: RE:.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com] Friday, April 22, 2011 1:59 PM Gerardo Interiano Re: RE:
Lamar meant below 55 I benchmarks?	McCain or Perry. Is there an analysis already done between the two using those
On Apr 22, 2011, at 1:54	4 PM, "Gerardo Interiano" < gmail.com > wrote:
	numberdon't share this with ANYONE but here is a comparison of the SSVR districts. it by the current benchmark of 29 to 27.
As far as Republica McCain and Perry.	an seats, he is overreaching. He drew two seats in Travis and both were lost by .
State House Plan	e data on # VRA and # of GOP under 55% comparison between Solomons/Nixon as for Lamar? See below. If y'all are too busy, just let me know and I can load it unts, but with 300 districts between the two, I thought I'd ask to see if you had
Thanks	
EO	
Begin forwarded	l message:

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Date: April 22, 2011 12:19:05 PM CDT

To: "Eric Opiela" < eopiela@ericopiela.com >, "Jennifer Brown"

<Jennifer.Y.Brown@mail.house.gov>

Reply-To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Met w tea party folks in sa this morn. They brought up st house redist and favor the nixon map over the solomons map bec it creates more gop dists. I told them re vra and asked them to ck to see how many of nixon dists were below 55. I didn't kno answer but wanted to raise questions about it. Is solomons map better in that regard.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

<SSVR.xlsx>

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 12 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: RE: RE:.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

F	ľ	0	ľ	ľ	١	:
_						

Gerardo Interiano [ginteriano@gmail.com]

Sent:

Friday, April 22, 2011 2:02 PM

To: Subject:

'Eric Opiela' RE: RE:

I do, but all of that is in the office at work.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 1:59 PM

To: Gerardo Interiano **Subject:** Re: RE:

Lamar meant below 55 McCain or Perry. Is there an analysis already done between the two using those benchmarks?

On Apr 22, 2011, at 1:54 PM, "Gerardo Interiano" < ginteriano@gmail.com > wrote:

Below 55 of what number...don't share this with ANYONE but here is a comparison of the SSVR districts. Nixon map drops it by the current benchmark of 29 to 27.

As far as Republican seats, he is overreaching. He drew two seats in Travis and both were lost by McCain and Perry.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 12:35 PM

To: Denise Davis (home) **Cc:** Gerardo Interiano

Subject: Fwd:

Do y'all have the data on # VRA and # of GOP under 55% comparison between Solomons/Nixon State House Plans for Lamar? See below. If y'all are too busy, just let me know and I can load it up and do the counts, but with 300 districts between the two, I thought I'd ask to see if you had that already.

Thanks

EO

Begin forwarded message:

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Date: April 22, 2011 12:19:05 PM CDT

To: "Eric Opiela" < eopiela@ericopiela.com >, "Jennifer Brown"

<Jennifer.Y.Brown@mail.house.gov>

Reply-To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Met w tea party folks in sa this morn. They brought up st house redist and favor the nixon map over the solomons map bec it creates more gop dists. I told them re vra and asked them to ck to see how many of nixon dists were below 55. I didn't kno answer but wanted to raise questions about it. Is solomons map better in that regard.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

<SSVR.xlsx>

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 15 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: RE: RE:.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent:

Friday, April 22, 2011 2:07 PM

To:

Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

Re: RE: RE:

I'll wait on it and tell Lamar we'll get it for him on Monday. Didn't look forward to running stats on 300 districts.

Thanks,

EO

On Apr 22, 2011, at 2:02 PM, Gerardo Interiano <ginteriano@gmail.com> wrote:

I do, but all of that is in the office at work.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 1:59 PM

To: Gerardo Interiano Subject: Re: RE:

Lamar meant below 55 McCain or Perry. Is there an analysis already done between the two using those benchmarks?

On Apr 22, 2011, at 1:54 PM, "Gerardo Interiano" < ginteriano@gmail.com> wrote:

Below 55 of what number...don't share this with ANYONE but here is a comparison of the SSVR districts. Nixon map drops it by the current benchmark of 29 to 27.

As far as Republican seats, he is overreaching. He drew two seats in Travis and both were lost by McCain and Perry.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 12:35 PM

To: Denise Davis (home) Cc: Gerardo Interiano

Subject: Fwd:

Do y'all have the data on # VRA and # of GOP under 55% comparison between Solomons/Nixon State House Plans for Lamar? See below. If y'all are too busy, just let me know and I can load it up and do the counts, but with 300 districts between the two, I thought I'd ask to see if you had that already.

Thanks

EO

Begin forwarded message:

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Date: April 22, 2011 12:19:05 PM CDT

To: "Eric Opiela" <eopiela@ericopiela.com>, "Jennifer Brown"

<Jennifer.Y.Brown@mail.house.gov>

Reply-To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Met w tea party folks in sa this morn. They brought up st house redist and favor the nixon map over the solomons map bec it creates more gop dists. I told them re vra and asked them to ck to see how many of nixon dists were below 55. I didn't kno answer but wanted to raise questions about it. Is solomons map better in that regard.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

<SSVR.xlsx>

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 18 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: RE: RE: RE:.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Gerardo Interiano [ginteriano@gmail.com]

Sent:

Friday, April 22, 2011 2:08 PM

To:

'Eric Opiela'

Subject:

RE: RE: RE:

Tell him Monday or Tuesday...but what does he want to do with it? That's not something that we are sharing with anyone.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 2:07 PM

To: Gerardo Interiano Subject: Re: RE: RE:

I'll wait on it and tell Lamar we'll get it for him on Monday. Didn't look forward to running stats on 300 districts.

Thanks, EO

On Apr 22, 2011, at 2:02 PM, Gerardo Interiano < ginteriano@gmail.com > wrote:

I do, but all of that is in the office at work.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:<u>eopiela@ericopiela.com</u>]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 1:59 PM

To: Gerardo Interiano Subject: Re: RE:

Lamar meant below 55 McCain or Perry. Is there an analysis already done between the two using those benchmarks?

On Apr 22, 2011, at 1:54 PM, "Gerardo Interiano" < ginteriano@gmail.com > wrote:

Below 55 of what number...don't share this with ANYONE but here is a comparison of the SSVR districts. Nixon map drops it by the current benchmark of 29 to 27.

As far as Republican seats, he is overreaching. He drew two seats in Travis and both were lost by McCain and Perry.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 12:35 PM

To: Denise Davis (home) **Cc:** Gerardo Interiano

Subject: Fwd:

Do y'all have the data on # VRA and # of GOP under 55% comparison between Solomons/Nixon State House Plans for Lamar? See below. If y'all are too busy, just let me know and I can load it up and do the counts, but with 300 districts between the two, I thought I'd ask to see if you had that already.

Thanks

EO

Begin forwarded message:

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Date: April 22, 2011 12:19:05 PM CDT

To: "Eric Opiela" < eopiela@ericopiela.com >, "Jennifer Brown"

<<u>Jennifer.Y.Brown@mail.house.gov</u>>

Reply-To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Met w tea party folks in sa this morn. They brought up st house redist and favor the nixon map over the solomons map bec it creates more gop dists. I told them re vra and asked them to ck to see how many of nixon dists were below 55. I didn't kno answer but wanted to raise questions about it. Is solomons map better in that regard.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

<SSVR.xlsx>

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 21 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: RE: RE: msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent:

Friday, April 22, 2011 2:12 PM

To: Subject: Gerardo Interiano Re: RE: RE: RE:

I think he wants to be comfortable what he said to SA Tea Party folks was true.

EO

On Apr 22, 2011, at 2:08 PM, Gerardo Interiano wrote:

Tell him Monday or Tuesday...but what does he want to do with it? That's not something that we are sharing with anyone.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 2:07 PM

To: Gerardo Interiano **Subject:** Re: RE: RE:

I'll wait on it and tell Lamar we'll get it for him on Monday. Didn't look forward to running stats on 300 districts.

Thanks, EO

On Apr 22, 2011, at 2:02 PM, Gerardo Interiano < ginteriano@gmail.com > wrote:

I do, but all of that is in the office at work.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 1:59 PM

To: Gerardo Interiano **Subject:** Re: RE:

Lamar meant below 55 McCain or Perry. Is there an analysis already done between the two using those benchmarks?

On Apr 22, 2011, at 1:54 PM, "Gerardo Interiano" < ginteriano@gmail.com > wrote:

Below 55 of what number...don't share this with ANYONE but here is a comparison of the SSVR districts. Nixon map drops it by the current benchmark of 29 to 27.

As far as Republican seats, he is overreaching. He drew two seats in Travis and both were lost by McCain and Perry.

From: Eric Opiela [mailto:eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 12:35 PM

To: Denise Davis (home)
Cc: Gerardo Interiano
Subject: Fwd:

Do y'all have the data on # VRA and # of GOP under 55% comparison between Solomons/Nixon State House Plans for Lamar? See below. If y'all are too busy, just let me know and I can load it up and do the counts, but with 300 districts between the two, I thought I'd ask to see if you had that already.

Thanks

EO

Begin forwarded message:

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Date: April 22, 2011 12:19:05 PM CDT

To: "Eric Opiela" <eopiela@ericopiela.com>, "Jennifer Brown"

<Jennifer.Y.Brown@mail.house.gov>
Reply-To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Met w tea party folks in sa this morn. They brought up st house redist and favor the nixon map over the solomons map bec it creates more gop dists. I told them re vra and asked them to ck to see how many of nixon dists were below 55. I didn't kno answer but wanted to raise questions about it. Is solomons map better in that regard.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

<SSVR.xlsx>

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 24 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: .msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent:

Monday, May 30, 2011 7:30 PM

To:

lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Cc:

Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

Re:

Ryan Downton <rdownton@platinummri.com>

I don't think we mess with quico's district--for your sake and his. His is barely performing (or not depending on your measure) right now; add Rs (which will be Anglos) and you put a neon sign on it telling the court to redraw it. Bring down your numbers and you'll have a Dem opponent every time. And they won't be Lainey Melnick.

EO

On May 30, 2011, at 7:13 PM, lamarsmith21@gmail.com wrote:

- > Do u have ryans email. Pls pass on. Wld it help quico on the margin if I gave him 3k more in bexar (either gop or hispanics) and took edwards co in exchange. Need to check demographics of edwards. If helps check w quico or scott.
- > Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: (No subject/filename).msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Sent:

Monday, May 30, 2011 8:12 PM

To:

Eric Opiela

Cc:

Gerardo Interiano

Still want to make offer re edwards. Only 3k. Maybe .1 percent but cld help quico.

Also didn't realize I had part of guadalupe. Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: .msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent:

Monday, May 30, 2011 8:16 PM

To:

lamarsmith21@gmail.com Gerardo Interiano

Cc: Subject:

Re:

I didn't think Lamar had Guadalupe...but it's in the system printout....is this a mistake, Gerardo? It's only 13k people.

ΕO

On May 30, 2011, at 8:12 PM, lamarsmith21@gmail.com wrote:

- > Still want to make offer re edwards. Only 3k. Maybe .1 percent but cld help quico.
- > Also didn't realize I had part of guadalupe.
- > Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 30 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Fwd: Special Session Proposed Map CD

24.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

From: Sent:

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com] Tuesday, May 31, 2011 1:18 AM Ryan Downton; Gerardo Interiano

To: Cc:

lamarsmith21@gmail.com camp

Subject:

Fwd: Special Session Proposed Map CD 24

Please see below; if possible.

Thanks

EO

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kenny Marchant < marchantken@gmail.com >

Date: May 31, 2011 1:10:30 AM CDT

To: Eric Opiela < eopiela@ericopiela.com >

Subject: Re: Special Session Proposed Map CD 24

Eric, one change, it's easy, no population. My grand babies go to Hockaday School on forest lane AND Inwood. I have the north side of forest, Pete has the south side. Please go across the street and pluck the campus out of Pete and put in my district. There will be no population this agreeable and I will ask Burt to do it. Thanks km

Sent from my iPad

On May 31, 2011, at 12:12 AM, Eric Opiela < eopiela@ericopiela.com > wrote:

Congressman Marchant-

The House and Senate have reached a compromise between their congressional redistricting maps today. The House and Senate consensus congressional proposal will likely be released today; Congressman Smith wanted me to describe your district under the proposal to you. Note that the House and Senate are still working on minor changes, and I will update you if your district changes. CD 24 will be 58.4 % McCain and will contain the following counties (100% unless otherwise noted): Dallas (14%-330,826), Denton (17%-112,782), and Tarrant (14%-254,880). As we discussed today, it's largely the same district proposed in the delegation proposal.

Please let me know you received this and do not hesitate to call or email if you have any questions.

Eric

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 33 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Fwd: Stats for Seliger/Solomons as

introduced.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: To:

Tuesday, May 31, 2011 4:55 PM

Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

Fwd: Stats for Seliger/Solomons as introduced

Attachments:

SeligerSolomonsIntroduced.xls; SeligerSolomonsIntroducedByCounty.doc

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Brown, Jennifer Y." < Jennifer Y.Brown@mail.house.gov>

To: "eopiela@ericopiela.com" <eopiela@ericopiela.com>, "Smith, CLS"

<<u>CLS.Smith@mail.house.gov</u>>

Subject: Fw: Stats for Seliger/Solomons as introduced

I got this from dub this afternoon assume same that we sent out earlier. Bcc so not sure he sent it to eric want to check it out.

Jennifer Young Brown Chief of Staff Congressman Lamar Smith **2409 RHOB** Jennifer.Y.Brown@mail.house.gov

From: Dub Maines [mailto:dubmaines@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2011 05:30 PM To: Dub Maines < dubmaines@gmail.com >

Subject: Stats for Seliger/Solomons as introduced

Attached are the political stats and the county break-downs of the map that Senator Seliger and Representative Solomons introduced for Congressional redistricting. This is, of course, public information.

Dub Maines 972-898-6796

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 35 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Nina's quote.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, May 31, 2011 10:31 PM

To:

lamarsmith21@gmail.com camp; jybblb2@aol.com Brown; Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

Nina's quote

MALDEF reaction

The Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund was not impressed.

"The Solomons-Seliger map does not increase the number of Latino-opportunity congressional districts despite the fact that 65 percent of the state's growth over the past decade was comprised of Latinos," said Nina Perales, MALDEF's vice president for litigation. "Instead, the map gerrymanders more than 9 million Latinos in Texas to make sure that we have no more electoral opportunity than we did in 1991."

Read

more: http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/metropolitan/7589548.html?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+houstonchronicle%2Fpolitics+%28chron.com+-+Politics%29#ixzz1NzXP7pWC

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 37 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: Nina's quote.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 01, 2011 5:44 AM

To:

Eric Opiela; Jennifer Brown; Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

Re: Nina's quote

But she is ignoring the new coalition dist betw sa and austin, making the old 27 performing, and increasing the hisp pop of the old 23. What does she kno that we don't? GI--Assume the AG is totally on board?

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: Eric Opiela <<u>eopiela@ericopiela.com</u>>
Date: Tue, 31 May 2011 22:30:40 -0500

To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com camp<lamarsmith21@gmail.com>; jybblb2@aol.com

Brown<<u>jybblb2@aol.com</u>>; Gerardo Interiano<<u>ginteriano@gmail.com</u>>

Subject: Nina's quote

MALDEF reaction

The Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund was not impressed.

"The Solomons-Seliger map does not increase the number of Latino-opportunity congressional districts despite the fact that 65 percent of the state's growth over the past decade was comprised of Latinos," said Nina Perales, MALDEF's vice president for litigation. "Instead, the map gerrymanders more than 9 million Latinos in Texas to make sure that we have no more electoral opportunity than we did in 1991."

Read

more: http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/metropolitan/7589548.html?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+houstonchronicle%2Fpolitics+%28chron.com+-+Politics%29#ixzz1NzXP7pWC

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 39 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: (No subject/filename).msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 40 of 81

From:

Sent:

lamarsmith21@gmail.com Wednesday, June 01, 2011 6:58 AM

To:

Eric Opiela; Gerardo Interiano

Cc:

Jennifer Brown

Just read in todays natl journal that quico drops from 66 to 63 hisp pop. Hope not true or dangerous.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 41 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: Nina's quote.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 01, 2011 7:40 AM

To:

Gerardo Interiano; Eric Opiela; Jennifer Brown

Subject:

Re: Nina's quote

Tks. That will help.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: "Gerardo Interiano" < ginteriano@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2011 07:20:18 -0500

To: "Eric Opiela'<eopiela@ericopiela.com">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Jennifer<">"Je

Brown'<<u>jybblb2@aol.com</u>> **Subject:** RE: Nina's quote

And yes. We're working very closely with the AG.

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com [mailto:lamarsmith21@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 5:44 AM

To: Eric Opiela; Jennifer Brown; Gerardo Interiano

Subject: Re: Nina's quote

But she is ignoring the new coalition dist betw sa and austin, making the old 27 performing, and increasing the hisp pop of the old 23. What does she kno that we don't? GI--Assume the AG is totally on board?

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: Eric Opiela < eopiela@ericopiela.com > Date: Tue, 31 May 2011 22:30:40 -0500

To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com camp<lamarsmith21@gmail.com>; jybblb2@aol.com

Brown<<u>jybblb2@aol.com</u>>; Gerardo Interiano<<u>ginteriano@gmail.com</u>>

Subject: Nina's quote

MALDEF reaction

The Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund was not impressed.

"The Solomons-Seliger map does not increase the number of Latino-opportunity congressional districts despite the fact that 65 percent of the state's growth over the past decade was comprised of Latinos," said Nina Perales, MALDEF's vice president for litigation. "Instead, the map gerrymanders more than 9 million Latinos in Texas to make sure that we have no more electoral opportunity than we did in 1991."

Read

more: http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/metropolitan/7589548.html?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+houstonchronicle%2Fpolitics+%28chron.com+-+Politics%29#ixzz1NzXP7pWC

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re:.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

lamarsmith21@gmail.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 01, 2011 7:44 AM

To:

Gerardo Interiano; Eric Opiela

Cc:

Jennifer Brown

Subject:

Re:

Great. Tks.

----Original Message-----

From: Gerardo Interiano
To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

To: Eric Opiela Cc: Jennifer Brown

Subject: RE:

Sent: Jun 1, 2011 8:19 AM

They're wrong. Here is his numbers. Total Hispanic Pop, he goes from 66.4 to 67.5. HVAP from 62.8 to 63.7. SSVR from 52.6 to 53.2

----Original Message----

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com [mailto:lamarsmith21@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 6:58 AM

To: Eric Opiela; Gerardo Interiano

Cc: Jennifer Brown

Subject:

Just read in todays natl journal that quico drops from 66 to 63 hisp pop.

Hope not true or dangerous.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 45 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Fwd: RE: Fw:.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

From: Sent:

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

To:

Wednesday, June 01, 2011 8:19 AM

Cc:

Gerardo Interiano jybblb2@aol.com Brown

Subject:

Fwd: RE: Fw:

Gerardo-

Can Tracy, Ryan, or Bonnie call this reporter and get the stats fixed on Quico's district?

Thanks,

Eric

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Scales, Sally-Shannon" < SallyShannon.Scales@mail.house.gov>

Date: June 1, 2011 8:13:47 AM CDT

To: "Brown, Jennifer Y." < Jennifer. Y. Brown@mail.house.gov>.

"lamarsmith21@gmail.com" <lamarsmith21@gmail.com>

Cc: "Eric Opiela" <eopiela@ericopiela.com>

Subject: RE: Fw:

He called earlier about the Barton suit so I have his contact info. His email address is cjoseph@nationaljournal.com and phone number is (202) 266-7323. I do think it would be best for someone from the legislature to call him but if you want me to I can do that this morning.

----Original Message-----From: Brown, Jennifer Y.

Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 9:08 AM

To: 'lamarsmith21@gmail.com'

Cc: 'Eric Opiela'; Scales, Sally-Shannon

Subject: RE: Fw:

How would you like to handle. Sally Shannon can get us the contact info looks like reporter is Cameron Joseph. If we get info telephone number and email would you like Sally Shannon or Eric to call. They might ask a lot of questions and not sure if they will give us their source or would it be better for Gerardo or his press team to call since he is part of the team that did consensus map. Seems that might be best.

Jennifer Young Brown Chief of Staff Congressman Lamar Smith 2409 Rayburn H.O.B 202 225 4236 phone 202 225 8628 fax

----Original Message----

From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com [mailto:lamarsmith21@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 9:03 AM

To: Brown, Jennifer Y.

Subject: Fw:

We need to correct art.
-----Original Message----From: Gerardo Interiano
To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com

To: Eric Opiela Cc: Jennifer Brown

Subject: RE:

Sent: Jun 1, 2011 8:19 AM

They're wrong. Here is his numbers. Total Hispanic Pop, he goes from 66.4 to 67.5. HVAP from 62.8 to 63.7. SSVR from 52.6 to 53.2

----Original Message----

From: <u>lamarsmith21@gmail.com</u> [<u>mailto:lamarsmith21@gmail.com</u>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 6:58 AM

To: Eric Opiela; Gerardo Interiano

Cc: Jennifer Brown

Subject:

Just read in todays natl journal that quico drops from 66 to 63 hisp pop. Hope not true or dangerous.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 48 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Fwd: ORVS and other stats.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, June 01, 2011 8:50 AM

To:

lamarsmith21@gmail.com camp; jybblb2@aol.com Brown

Cc:

Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

Fwd: ORVS and other stats

Attachments:

C125 to Current 32 districts Comparison UPDATED May 31.pdf; Untitled attachment

00194.htm

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Mike Baselice" <mikeb@baselice.com>

Date: June 1, 2011 8:43:37 AM CDT

To: <gregounghill@gmail.com>, "Steve Ruhlen" <<u>sruhlen@verizon.net</u>>, "Sylvia Nugent" <<u>sylrodnugent@sbcglobal.net</u>>, "Dee Buchanan" <<u>deebuchanan@jebhensarling.com</u>>, "Doug Centilli@bradyforcongress.com>, "Eric Opiela"

<eopiela@ericopiela.com>, "Gina Santucci" <gina.santucci@gmail.com>, "Jenni

Winegarner" < jenni@macthornberry.com>

Subject: ORVS and other stats

Summary stats attached for Plan C125. I am not advocating anything by sending this information. This is so everyone is on the same sheet of music regarding the stats on the districts. Please forward to other GOP members of the delegation.

MICHAEL BASELICE
Baselice & Associates, Inc.
4131 Spicewood Springs Rd
Suite O-2
Austin, TX 78759
512-345-9720 (office)
512-689-4560 (cell)
mikeb@baselice.com

NOTICE AS TO CONFIDENTIALITY: This E-mail, including any attachments, is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521, is confidential, and may also be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender hereof that you have received this message in error, and then permanently remove the message and any attachments from your computer, server and all other storage devices.

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: C125 to Current 32 districts Comparison

UPDATED May 31.pdf

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Current May 31 Current M		Current	May 31		Current	May 31		•										
OFFICE	Aug constraint of the constrai							Current	May 31	Current	May 31		Current	May 31		Current	May 31	
OFM OFM SIMPLE OFM SIMPLE SIMPLE OFM OFM SIMPLE OFM								ORVS	ORVS	2010 Chanieh	2010 Cnapieh		H Successive Successiv	Hlenonis		Afr. Amer	Afr.Amer	
CSS No. CSS No. <t< th=""><th></th><th>2012 ORVS</th><th>2812 ORVS</th><th></th><th>2012 oDvs</th><th>2012 oDvs</th><th>Diff.</th><th>soQo</th><th></th><th>Surname</th><th>Surname</th><th>Diff.</th><th>VAP</th><th>VAP</th><th>Diff.</th><th>VAP</th><th>VAP</th><th>Diff.</th></t<>		2012 ORVS	2812 ORVS		2012 oDvs	2012 oDvs	Diff.	soQo		Surname	Surname	Diff.	VAP	VAP	Diff.	VAP	VAP	Diff.
56.5% 4.2% 6.6% 2.2% 6.1% <t< th=""><th></th><th>%2.69</th><th>69.2%</th><th>-0.1%</th><th>34.2%</th><th>34.4%</th><th>0.2%</th><th>34.8%</th><th>34.8%</th><th>4.3%</th><th>3.6 %</th><th>-0.7%</th><th>12.1 %</th><th>10.9 %</th><th>-1.2%</th><th>17.6 %</th><th>18.0 %</th><th>0.4%</th></t<>		%2.69	69.2%	-0.1%	34.2%	34.4%	0.2%	34.8%	34.8%	4.3%	3.6 %	-0.7%	12.1 %	10.9 %	-1.2%	17.6 %	18.0 %	0.4%
99.0% 41.9% 61.5% 65.9% 47.8% 61.9% 62.9% 72.9% 71.9% 61.9% 71.9% 61.9% 71.9% 61.9% 71.9% 61.9% 71.9% <th< td=""><td></td><td>61.4%</td><td>65.8%</td><td>4.4%</td><td>41.4%</td><td>36.6%</td><td>4.8%</td><td>20.0%</td><td>29.2%</td><td>9.8%</td><td>14.3 %</td><td>4.5%</td><td>19.5 %</td><td>27.2 %</td><td>7.7%</td><td>21.2 %</td><td>13.9 %</td><td>-7.3%</td></th<>		61.4%	65.8%	4.4%	41.4%	36.6%	4.8%	20.0%	29.2%	9.8%	14.3 %	4.5%	19.5 %	27.2 %	7.7%	21.2 %	13.9 %	-7.3%
99.9% -1.9% 69.2% <th< td=""><td></td><td>61.3%</td><td>65.9%</td><td>4.6%</td><td>40.7%</td><td>36.1%</td><td>-4.7%</td><td>20.6%</td><td>29.8%</td><td>7.7%</td><td>9.6 %</td><td>-2.1%</td><td>19.4 %</td><td>12.8 %</td><td>%9[.]9-</td><td>11.6 %</td><td>8.6 %</td><td>-3.0%</td></th<>		61.3%	65.9%	4.6%	40.7%	36.1%	-4.7%	20.6%	29.8%	7.7%	9.6 %	-2.1%	19.4 %	12.8 %	%9 [.] 9-	11.6 %	8.6 %	-3.0%
9.9.9 1.18 6.1.5 6.1.4 6.1.5 6.1.4 8.0.5 6.1.4 9.0.5 6.0.5		69.2%	69.0%	-0.2%	34.2%	34.8%	0.6%	35.0%	34.2%	4.4%	3.7 %	-0.7%	10.7 %	9.7 %	-1.0%	10.2 %	10.7 %	0.5%
64.08% 2.0% 61.2% <th< td=""><td></td><td>64.5%</td><td>63.1%</td><td>-1.4%</td><td>38.5%</td><td>40.1%</td><td>1.6%</td><td>26.1%</td><td>23.0%</td><td>7.5%</td><td>8.2 %</td><td>0.7%</td><td>17.8 %</td><td>21.3 %</td><td>3.5%</td><td>13.5 %</td><td>13.9 %</td><td>0.4%</td></th<>		64.5%	63.1%	-1.4%	38.5%	40.1%	1.6%	26.1%	23.0%	7.5%	8.2 %	0.7%	17.8 %	21.3 %	3.5%	13.5 %	13.9 %	0.4%
6478 21% 62.7% 61.9% 11.% 0.5% 21.% 62.9% 61.9% 11.% 0.5% 21.% 10.9% 11.4% 0.5% 11.% 0.5% 21.% 10.0% 11.4% 10.0% 10.0% 11.4% 10.0%		51.8%	59.2%	-2.6%	40.7%	43.2%	2.5%	21.1%	16.0%	9.4%	20.3 %	10.9%	19.4 %	39.0 %	19.6%	16.2 %	11.4 %	-4.8%
64.9% 2.4% 73.9% 75.9%		62.7%	64.3%	1.7%	38.9%	37.3%	-1.6%	23.8%	27.0%	10.6%	11.1 %	0.5%	22.5 %	24.5 %	2.0%	10.0 %	11.4 %	1.4%
22.9% 1.9% 1.9% 1.9% 1.9% 1.9% 1.9% 1.9% 2.9% <t< td=""><td></td><td>73.9%</td><td>75.7%</td><td>1.6%</td><td>29.5%</td><td>26.8%</td><td>-2.7%</td><td>44.3%</td><td>48.9%</td><td>5.4%</td><td>% 6:9</td><td>1.5%</td><td>13.0 %</td><td>16.1 %</td><td>3.1%</td><td>8.0 %</td><td>7.4 %</td><td>-0.6%</td></t<>		73.9%	75.7%	1.6%	29.5%	26.8%	-2.7%	44.3%	48.9%	5.4%	% 6:9	1.5%	13.0 %	16.1 %	3.1%	8.0 %	7.4 %	-0.6%
46.6% 1.3% 69.4% 6.2% 6.2% 1.3% 6.2% 6.2% 1.3% 6.2% 1.3% 6.2% 1.3% 6.2% 1.3% 6.2% 1.3% 6.2% 1.3% 6.2% <		23.7%	24.3%	0.6%	77.5%	76.8%	-0.6%	-53.7%	-52.5%	16.2%	14.9 %	-1.3%	38.9 %	35.7 %	-3.2%	36.3 %	37.3 %	1.0%
64.7% 0.2% 77.6% 77.9% 0.1% 20.9% 21.9% 10.5% 21.9% 10.5% 21.9% 10.5% 21.9% 2		59.4%	59.2%	-0.2%	43.1%	43.3%	0.2%	16.4%	15.9%	12.1%	12.1 %	%0:0	25.3 %	25.0 %	-0.3%	11.4 %	10.0 %	-1.4%
51.2% 4.1% 6.65% 5.69% -5.7% 3.68% 17.7% 17.1% 17.1% 7.7%		76.8%	76.9%	0.1%	26.3%	26.2%	-0.1%	50.5%	20.7%	21.9%	19.5 %	-2.4%	31.5 %	28.8 %	-2.7%	4.1%	3.8 %	-0.3%
63.9% 0.6% 7.71% 0.6% 2.60% 2.61% 2.61% 1.15% 1.15% 0.0% 19.7% 0.6% 0.61% 2.61% 0.61% 1.15% 1.15% 0.0% 1.91% 0.0% 0.91% 0.61% 0.61% 0.61% 1.10% 1.10% 1.11% 0.0%		65.6%	59.9%	-5.7%	36.8%	42.2%	5.4%	28.8%	17.7%	13.1%	9.4 %	-3.7%	24.6 %	19.1 %	-5.5%	8.9	13.7 %	%6.9
52.9% -1.5% <th< td=""><td></td><td>77.1%</td><td>77.7%</td><td>0.6%</td><td>26.0%</td><td>25.3%</td><td>-0.6%</td><td>51.2%</td><td>52.4%</td><td>11.5%</td><td>11.5 %</td><td>%0:0</td><td>19.7 %</td><td>20.2 %</td><td>0.5%</td><td>6.1 %</td><td>2.6 %</td><td>-0.5%</td></th<>		77.1%	77.7%	0.6%	26.0%	25.3%	-0.6%	51.2%	52.4%	11.5%	11.5 %	%0:0	19.7 %	20.2 %	0.5%	6.1 %	2.6 %	-0.5%
38.1 1.3% 41.4% 42.4% 1.0% 60.06% -1.4% -20.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 1.0% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 1.0% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 1.0% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% -1.4% 70.06% 1.0% 70.06% 20.06%		67.5%	65.3%	-2.2%	35.5%	37.8%	2.3%	32.0%	27.4%	17.7%	13.1 %	-4.6%	25.6 %	20.6 %	-5.0%	9.3 %	11.0 %	1.7%
22.6% -11% 518.9% -11% 611.9% -11% 611.9% -11% 611.9% -11% 611.9% -11% 611.9% -11% 611.9% -11% 611.9% -11% -11% 11% 11.9% 11.		41.4%	42.4%	1.0%	62.0%	60.6%	-1.4%	-20.6%	-18.2%	71.2%	70.1 %	-1.1%	78.7 %	80.7 %	2.0%	1.9 %	1.5 %	-0.4%
50.3% 5.6 % 68.6 % 61.1% 7.5 % 34.7% 42.2 % 7.5 % 33.9 % 18.9 % 92.8 % 11.1 % 1.9 % 17.4 % 20.0 % 2.6 % 13.1 % 13.1 % 13.1 % 13.1 % 13.1 % 13.1 % 13.1 % 13.1 % 13.2 % 13.0 % 2.0 % 2.0 % 13.1 % 13.2 % 2.0 % 2.		35.8%	34.7%	-1.1%	67.1%	68.2%	1.1%	-31.3%	-33.5%	68.1%	% 0.69	%6:0	79.1 %	79.8 %	0.7%	3.5 %	3.6 %	0.1%
18.6% -1.9% 24.0% 2.2.0% -2.1% 77.4% 73.4% -57.2% 18.4% 15.1% -3.3% 33.0% -6.0% 71.9% 39.7% 39.7% 45.2% 61.2% 61.2% 20.0% 45.2% 61.3% 61.3% <		68.6%	61.1%	-7.5%	34.7%	42.2%	7.5%	33.9%	18.9%	9.2%	11.1 %	1.9%	17.4 %	20.0 %	2.6%	9.5 %	13.1 %	3.6%
60.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 7.3% 6.2% 6.2% 6.2% 6.2% 6.2% 6.2% 6.2% 6.2% 7.3% 6.2% 7.3% 6.2% 7.3% 8.0% <t< td=""><td></td><td>24.0%</td><td>22.0%</td><td>-2.1%</td><td>77.4%</td><td>79.1%</td><td>1.7%</td><td>-53.4%</td><td>-57.2%</td><td>18.4%</td><td>15.1 %</td><td>-3.3%</td><td>39.0 %</td><td>33.0 %</td><td>-6.0%</td><td>37.9 %</td><td>39.7 %</td><td>1.8%</td></t<>		24.0%	22.0%	-2.1%	77.4%	79.1%	1.7%	-53.4%	-57.2%	18.4%	15.1 %	-3.3%	39.0 %	33.0 %	-6.0%	37.9 %	39.7 %	1.8%
37.0% 5.5% 38.2% 49.5% 64.5% 62.0% 62.0% 49.5%		74.3%	73.6%	-0.7%	29.1%	29.8%	0.7%	45.2%	43.8%	22.4%	22.9 %	0.5%	29.3 %	29.5 %	0.2%	5.7 %	6.2 %	0.5%
52.0% 6.3% 6.17% 2.1% 38.3% 40.4% 2.1% 5.5% 41.4% 41.3% 24.9% 23.2% 41.7% 6.8% 3.7% 56.9% 5.2% 60.5% 6.6% 5.0% 40.4% 2.1% 15.9% 15.9% 41.6% 1.7% 6.8% 3.7% 47.1% 2.8% 60.5% 6.6% 6.0% 40.4% 2.2% 1.0% 1.4% 0.5% 2.3% 4.0% 3.2% 6.0% 4.0% 1.0% 1.1% 6.2% 2.3% 6.0% 4.0% 1.0% 1.1% 6.2% 0.5% 1.1% 1.0% 1.1% 6.2% 0.5% 1.1%		38.2%	44.5%	6.2%	64.2%	58.0%	-6.2%	-26.0%	-13.5%	29.2%	49.5 %	-9.7%	68.0 %	60.7 %	-7.3%	7.7 %	8.0%	0.3%
56.9% 52.% 60.6% 66.5% 5.9% 41.6% 35.6% 60.9% 18.9% 30.9% 15.1% 14.6% 0.5% 24.0% 23.4% 0.6% 23.4% 0.6% 23.4% 0.6% 41.0% 95.% 47.1% 2.8% 51.3% 56.1% 3.2% 60.9% 48.0% 2.3% 11.4% 62.8% 62.8% 63.7% 0.6% 62.8% 63.7% 0.6% 61.3% 63.7% 0.6% 61.3% 61.4% 61.3% 61.4% 61.3% 61.4% 61.3% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4%		63.8%	61.7%	-2.1%	38.3%	40.4%	2.1%	25.5%	21.3%	15.7%	14.4 %	-1.3%	24.9 %	23.2 %	-1.7%	6.8 %	3.7 %	-3.1%
47.1% 2.8% 51.9% 56.1% 3.2% 50.9% 48.0% -2.9% 1.0% 7.1% 52.6% 53.2% 0.6% 62.8% 62.8% 62.8% 63.7% 0.9% 40.0% 30.9% 41.0% 7.1% 52.6% 53.2% 0.5% 40.0% 40.0% 30.0% 55.8% 3.3% 68.7% 62.9% 43.3% 43.2% 41.4% 86.% -2.8% 23.5% 14.6% 10.3% 41.6% 10.3% 41.6% 10.3% 41.6% 10.3% 41.6% 10.3% 41.6% 11.1% 86.4% -2.8% 11.1% 86.4% 41.1% 11.6% 11.1% 35.8% 41.1% 11.6% 11.1% 35.8% 41.1% 11.6% 11.1% 35.8% 41.1% 11.6% 11.1% 11.1% 12.8% 11.1% 11.1% 11.1% 12.8% 11.1% 12.8% 11.1% 12.8% 11.1% 12.8% 12.1% 11.1% 12.8% 12.1% 12.1% 12.1% 12.1%		90.6%	66.5%	5.9%	41.6%	35.6%	-6.0%	18.9%	30.9%	15.1%	14.6 %	-0.5%	24.0 %	23.4 %	~9.0-	14.0 %	9.5 %	-4.5%
55.8% 3.3% 58.7% 62.9% 4.3% 39.1% 42.9% 15.3% 11.4% 86.9% 2.8% 23.5% 20.3% -3.2% 14.6% 10.3% 49.8% 13.8% 44.5% 60.2% 15.7% 56.5% 42.7% -16.8% 14.6% 10.3% 53.8% 15.5% -18.3% 82.8% 10.3% 55.6% 2.3% 61.5% 61.5% 61.5% 62.7% 41.1% -1.6% 11.1% 35.8% 15.5% -18.3% 82.8% 82.8% 82.8% 82.8% 82.8% 82.8% 82.8% 45.1% 41.1% 11.6% 11.1% 35.8% 15.5% -1.1% 82.8% 13.7% 92.8% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% 13.7% 92.8% <td></td> <td>51.9%</td> <td>55.1%</td> <td>3.2%</td> <td>%6.05</td> <td>48.0%</td> <td>-2.9%</td> <td>1.0%</td> <td>7.1%</td> <td>52.6%</td> <td>53.2 %</td> <td>%9.0</td> <td>62.8 %</td> <td>63.7 %</td> <td>%6.0</td> <td>4.0 %</td> <td>3.0 %</td> <td>-1.0%</td>		51.9%	55.1%	3.2%	%6.05	48.0%	-2.9%	1.0%	7.1%	52.6%	53.2 %	%9.0	62.8 %	63.7 %	%6.0	4.0 %	3.0 %	-1.0%
49.8% 13.8% 44.5% 60.2% 15.7% 41.5% 41.5% 60.2% 45.8% 42.7% 41.5% 41.6% 81.9% 41.3% 41.5% 41.8% 41.8% 41.6% 41.6% 41.7% 41.8% <th< td=""><td></td><td>58.7%</td><td>62.9%</td><td>4.3%</td><td>43.3%</td><td></td><td>-4.2%</td><td>15.3%</td><td>23.8%</td><td>11.4%</td><td>8.6 %</td><td>-2.8%</td><td>23.5 %</td><td>20.3 %</td><td>-3.2%</td><td>14.6 %</td><td>10.3 %</td><td>-4.3%</td></th<>		58.7%	62.9%	4.3%	43.3%		-4.2%	15.3%	23.8%	11.4%	8.6 %	-2.8%	23.5 %	20.3 %	-3.2%	14.6 %	10.3 %	-4.3%
55.6% 2.3% 61.5% 63.7% 2.2% 40.7% 38.6% 2.1% 20.7% 25.0% 1.6% 11.1% 3.5% 18.1% 23.3% 5.2% 13.7% 92.% 49.3% 7.1% 48.2% 59.9% 11.7% 55.6% 44.1% -1.16% -7.5% 15.8% 61.1% 37.0% -24.1% 69.2% 45.1% -24.1% 52.4% 52.8% 45.1% -24.1% 52.4% 66.0% 66.0% 66.0% 66.0% 66.0% 66.0% 75.7% 74.6% -1.1% 13.7% 41.8% 41.8% 41.8% -12.8% 52.6% 56.0% 66.0% 66.0% 66.0% 66.0% 75.7% 74.6% -1.1% 75.3% 70.7% 41.8% 41.8% -12.8% 52.6% 51.5% 70.7% 41.8% 41.8% -12.8% 52.6% 51.5% 70.7% 70.9% 70.7% 10.8% 10.7% 10.9% 11.1% 72.3% 70.7% 45.8% 10.7% 45.8% 10.9	36.0% 49.8%	44.5%	60.2%	15.7%	58.5%		-15.8%	-14.0%	17.5%	20.4%	8.1%	-12.3%	33.8 %	15.5 %	-18.3%	8.2 %	8.3 %	0.1%
49.3% 7.1% 48.2% 59.9% 11.7% 55.6% 44.1% -11.6% -15.8% 61.1% 37.0% -24.1% 69.2% 45.1% -24.1% 2.64 53.% 34.7% -3.9% 45.1% -50.9% 11.7% 62.7% 48.8% -12.8% 66.0% 66.0% 0.6% 75.7% 74.6% -1.1% 75.3% 70.7% -1.1% 13.3% 41.8% -1.1% 75.3% 70.7% -1.1% 13.3% 41.8% 41.8% -12.8% -12.8% 66.0% 66.0% 66.0% 75.7% 74.6% -1.1% 75.3% 70.7% -1.1% 75.3% 70.7% -1.1% 13.3% 41.8% 41.8% -12.8% -12.8% 56.6% 51.5% 70.7% 70.7% 10.7% 46.4% 69.6% 31.7% 52.6% 11.4% 10.8% 11.4% 10.9% 11.4% 10.5% 11.4% 10.9% 11.4% 10.9% 11.4% 10.9% 11.4% 11.4% 10.8% 11.4% <	53.3% 55.6%	61.5%	63.7%	2.2%	40.7%		-2.1%	20.7%	25.0%	7.6%	11.1%	3.5%	18.1%	23.3 %	5.2%	13.7 %	9.2 %	-4.5%
34.7% -3.9% 45.1% 40.1% -5.0% 57.9% 62.7% 4.8% -12.8% -22.6% 66.0% 66.6% 75.7% 74.6% -1.1% 75.7% 74.6% -1.1% 1.8% -1.1% 1.8% -1.1% 75.7% 74.6% -1.1% 1.8% -1.1% 75.3% 70.7% -1.1% 1.8% -1.1% 1.8% -1.1% 75.3% 70.7% -1.1% 1.0.7% 1.0.7% 1.0.7% 1.0.9% 1.0.9% 1.1.1% 0.0.9% 1.0.7% <		48.2%	59.9%	11.7%	55.6%		-11.6%	-7.5%	15.8%	61.1%	37.0 %	-24.1%	69.2 %	45.1 %	-24.1%	2.6 %	5.3 %	2.7%
2.6% 35.7% 32.3% -3.4% 66.4% 69.6% 3.1% -30.7% -37.2% 51.5% -1.1% 72.3% 70.7% -1.6% 10.7% 13.3% 0.2% 19.3% 18.8% -0.5% 81.7% 62.6% -63.5% 14.6% 15.4% 0.8% 14.6% 15.4% 0.8% 14.6% 15.4% 0.8% 10.7%<	38.6% 34.7%	45.1%	40.1%	5.0%	27.9%	62.7%	4.8%	-12.8%	-22.6%	%0.99	% 9.99	%9.0	75.7 %	74.6 %	-1.1%	1.8 %	4.1%	2.3%
17.6% 0.2% 19.3% 18.8% -0.5% 81.7% 82.3% 0.6% -62.4% -63.5% 14.6% 15.4% 0.8% 34.7% 35.6% 0.9% 42.5% 46.4% 53.2% 0.1% 62.5% 61.8% -0.7% 40.8% 41.3% 0.5% 20.5% 10.9% 11.4% 0.5% 18.7% 19.6% 0.9% 11.7% 11.7% 11.4% 0.5% 18.7% 19.6% 0.9% 11.7% 11.7% 11.4% 0.5% 11.7% 11.7% 11.4% 0.5% 11.7% 11.7% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.7% 11.7% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% 0.5% 11.4% <td></td> <td>35.7%</td> <td>32.3%</td> <td>-3.4%</td> <td>66.4%</td> <td>69.6%</td> <td>3.1%</td> <td>-30.7%</td> <td>-37.2%</td> <td>52.6%</td> <td>51.5 %</td> <td>-1.1%</td> <td>72.3 %</td> <td>% 2.02</td> <td>-1.6%</td> <td>10.7 %</td> <td>13.3 %</td> <td>2.6%</td>		35.7%	32.3%	-3.4%	66.4%	69.6%	3.1%	-30.7%	-37.2%	52.6%	51.5 %	-1.1%	72.3 %	% 2.02	-1.6%	10.7 %	13.3 %	2.6%
53.2% 0.1% 62.5% 61.8% 0.7% 40.8% 41.3% 0.5% 21.6% 20.5% 10.9% 11.4% 0.5% 18.7% 19.6% 0.9% 12.6% 11.7% 51.7% 1.9% 56.6% 56.6% 56.8% 2.3% 45.4% 43.0% -2.3% 11.2% 16.2% 8.3% -7.9% 36.7% 20.4% -16.3% 9.0% 11.9% 51.2% 51.2% 56.6% 56.4% 6.0% 42.9% 0.0% 16.5% 0.0% 10.8% 10.8% 10.9% 17.7% 17.7% 0.0% 11.9% 31.7% 37.7% 42.3% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 0.0% -19.1% 0.0% 10.8% 10.0% 17.7% 17.7% 0.0% 17.7% 31.7% 34.7% 10.0% 42.0% 61.0% 61.0% 0.0% 19.0% 0.0% 46.0% 0.0% 10.0% 17.7% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%		19.3%	18.8%	-0.5%	81.7%	82.3%	%9.0	-62.4%	-63.5%	14.6%	15.4 %	0.8%	34.7 %	35.6 %	%6.0	42.5 %	46.4 %	3.9%
51.7% 1.9% 56.6% 56.8% 2.3% 45.4% 43.0% -2.3% 11.2% 16.2% 8.3% -7.9% 36.7% 20.4% -16.3% 9.0% 11.9% 51.2% 51.2% 0.0% 59.4% 0.0% 42.9% 0.0% 16.5% 0.0% 10.8% 10.9% 10.8% 10.8% 10.9% 10.8% 10.9% 10.9% 10.9% 10.8% 10.9% 10.9% 10.9% 10.9% 10.9% 10.9% 10.9% 10.9%		62.5%	61.8%	-0.7%	40.8%	41.3%	0.5%	21.6%	20.5%	10.9%	11.4 %	0.5%	18.7 %	19.6 %	%6:0	12.6 %	11.7 %	%6.0-
51.2% 51.2% 61.2% 60.9% 59.4% 0.0% 42.9% 0.0% 16.5% 0.0% 10.8% 10.8% 10.8% 23.0% 23.0% 23.0% 0.0% 14.9% 37.7% 37.7% 42.3% 42.3% 61.4% 61.4% 61.4% 0.0% -19.1% 0.0% 70.3% 70.3% 77.7% 77.7% 77.7% 0.0% 1.7% 34.7% 34.7% 42.0% 61.0% 61.0% 0.0% -19.0% 0.0% 46.0% 60.0% 58.5% 58.5% 0.0% 9.7% 49.4% 49.4% 60.0% 58.8% 60.0% 44.9% 44.9% 0.0% 13.9% 0.0% 8.7% 0.0% 20.2% 20.2% 0.0% 20.4%		55.6%	58.8%	2.3%	45.4%	43.0%	-2.3%	11.2%	15.8%	16.2%	8.3 %	-7.9%	36.7 %	20.4 %	-16.3%	% 0.6	11.9 %	2.9%
37.7% 37.7% 0.0% 42.3% 42.3% 0.0% 61.4% 61.0% -19.1% 0.0% 70.3% 70.3% 77.7% 77.7% 77.7% 77.7% 17.7% 17.7% 34.7% 34.7% 34.7% 42.0% 42.0% 61.0% 61.0% 61.0% -19.0% 0.0% 46.0% 46.0% 46.0% 60.0% 58.5% 58.5% 0.0% 97.7% 49.4% 49.4% 60.0% 44.9% 44.9% 44.9% 0.0% 41.3% 0.0% 87.7% 87.7% 0.0% 20.2% 20.2% 20.2% 0.0% 20.4%		0.0%	59.4%	59.4%	%0.0	42.9%	42.9%	%0.0	16.5%	%0.0	10.8 %	10.8%	%0.0	23.0 %	23.0%	%0.0	14.9 %	14.9%
34.7% 34.7% 0.0% 42.0% 61.0% 61.0% 0.0% -19.0% 0.0% 46.0% 4		0.0%	42.3%	42.3%	%0.0		61.4%	%0.0	-19.1%	%0:0	70.3 %	70.3%	%0.0	77.7 %	77.7%	%0:0	1.7 %	1.7%
49.4% 49.4% 0.0% 58.8% 58.8% 0.0% 44.9% 44.9% 0.0% 13.9% 0.0% 8.7% 8.7% 0.0% 20.2% 20.2% 0.0% 20.4%		%0.0	42.0%	42.0%	%0.0	61.0%	61.0%	%0.0	-19.0%	%0.0	46.0 %	46.0%	%0.0	58.5 %	58.5%	%0.0	9.7 %	9.7%
		0.0%	58.8%	58.8%	%0.0	44.9%	44.9%	%0.0	13.9%	%0:0	8.7 %	8.7%	%0.0	20.2 %	20.2%	%0:0	20.4 %	20.4%

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 52 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: RE: Fw:.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Gerardo Interiano [ginteriano@gmail.com]

From:

```
Sent:
                      Wednesday, June 01, 2011 9:53 AM
To:
                      Eric Opiela
                      jybblb2@aol.com Brown
Cc:
Subject:
                      Re: RE: Fw:
Send me the article so that I can get it to our press team. I can't find it online.
On Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 8:19 AM, Eric Opiela <eopiela@ericopiela.com> wrote:
> Gerardo-
> Can Tracy, Ryan, or Bonnie call this reporter and get the stats fixed
> on Quico's district?
> Thanks,
> Eric
> Begin forwarded message:
> From: "Scales, Sally-Shannon" <<u>SallyShannon.Scales@mail.house.gov</u>>
> Date: June 1, 2011 8:13:47 AM CDT
> To: "Brown, Jennifer Y." < Jennifer.Y. Brown@mail.house.gov>,
> "'lamarsmith21@gmail.com'" <lamarsmith21@gmail.com>
> Cc: "'Eric Opiela'" <enpiela@ericopiela.com>
> Subject: RE: Fw:
> He called earlier about the Barton suit so I have his contact info.
> His email address is <a href="mailto:cjoseph@nationaljournal.com">cjoseph@nationaljournal.com</a> and phone number is
> (202) 266-7323. I do think it would be best for someone from the
> legislature to call him but if you want me to I can do that this morning.
> ----Original Message----
> From: Brown, Jennifer Y.
> Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 9:08 AM
> To: 'lamarsmith21@gmail.com'
> Cc: 'Eric Opiela'; Scales, Sally-Shannon
> Subject: RE: Fw:
> How would you like to handle. Sally Shannon can get us the contact
> info looks like reporter is Cameron Joseph. If we get info telephone
> number and email would you like Sally Shannon or Eric to call. They
> might ask a lot of questions and not sure if they will give us their
> source or would it be better for Gerardo or his press team to call
> since he is part of the team that did consensus map. Seems that might be best.
> Jennifer Young Brown
> Chief of Staff
> Congressman Lamar Smith
> 2409 Rayburn H.O.B
> 202 225 4236 phone
> 202 225 8628 fax
> ----Original Message----
> From: lamarsmith21@gmail.com [mailto:lamarsmith21@gmail.com]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 9:03 AM
> To: Brown, Jennifer Y.
```

```
> Subject: Fw:
> We need to correct art.
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Gerardo Interiano
> To: lamarsmith21@gmail.com
> To: Eric Opiela
> Cc: Jennifer Brown
> Subject: RE:
> Sent: Jun 1, 2011 8:19 AM
> They're wrong. Here is his numbers. Total Hispanic Pop, he goes from
> 66.4 to 67.5. HVAP from 62.8 to 63.7. SSVR from 52.6 to 53.2
> ----Original Message----
> From: <a href="mailto:lamarsmith21@gmail.com">lamarsmith21@gmail.com</a> [mailto:lamarsmith21@gmail.com]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 6:58 AM
> To: Eric Opiela; Gerardo Interiano
> Cc: Jennifer Brown
> Subject:
> Just read in todays natl journal that quico drops from 66 to 63 hisp pop.
> Hope not true or dangerous.
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
>
```

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 55 of 81

DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Conservative bloggers promoting Burch

map .msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent:

Thursday, June 02, 2011 4:34 AM

To: Cc:

Gordon Johnson; Denise Davis (home); Gerardo Interiano lamarsmith21@gmail.com camp; jybblb2@aol.com Brown

Subject:

Attachments:

Conservative bloggers promoting Burch map TRIBUNE_QA_Philpott_Doggett_on_Redistricting_MIX.mp3; Untitled attachment 00246.htm;

attachment.png; Untitled attachment 00249.htm; doggett_map2_jpg_474x1000_q100.jpg;

Untitled attachment 00252.htm

There were the same "Oust Straus" people who produced the SBOE and Pledge Cards video this month.

MP3 of Doggett interview also attached.

EO

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 57 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: CD 21 Blanco.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent: To:

Thursday, June 02, 2011 6:36 AM

Cc:

Gerardo Interiano

Ryan Downton; Doug Davis

Subject:

CD 21 Blanco

Talked to Lamar last night. He's willing to give up Blanco County to CD 25 (or if you need it to Conaway to make the San Saba/Mills swap work so you don't have to split Burnet) to allow y'all a wider transit through Hays to make it look better, so long as the numbers stay the same. Just wanted to put that out there as an option for y'all.

Good luck at the hearing today.

ΕO

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 59 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: CD 8- Woodlands.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com] Thursday, June 02, 2011 7:57 PM

Sent: To:

Doug Davis; Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

CD 8- Woodlands

Heads up, I just got a call from Doug Centilli from Cong. Brady's office. The Woodlands was removed from CD 8 and put in CD 10 under Plan C130. Is the best way to fix this for Sen. Williams to offer an amendment to Plan C130 in committee tomorrow?

E0=

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 61 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: political and hcvap reports.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com] Thursday, June 02, 2011 9:33 PM

Sent: To:

Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

political and hovap reports

gi-

could you please get me the political and hovap reports for c130, if possible tonight? I'm sending out a delegation update, and would rather provide the RedAppl political data rather than mine because your numbers are higher due to the way redappl splits vtds (it doesnt take into account turnout when disaggregating vtd data to the block level). if not, that's fine, but I'm trying to prevent the grousing about how low cds 10 and 21 are....

thanks

ΕO

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 63 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: political and hcvap reports.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Gerardo Interiano [ginteriano@gmail.com]

Sent:

Thursday, June 02, 2011 9:37 PM

To:

Eric Opiela

Subject:

Re: political and hovap reports

won't be able to get them tonight. I dont have RedAppl here at home and everyone at work is gone.

On Thu, Jun 2, 2011 at 9:33 PM, Eric Opiela < eopiela@ericopiela.com > wrote: gi-

could you please get me the political and hovap reports for c130, if possible tonight? I'm sending out a delegation update, and would rather provide the RedAppl political data rather than mine because your numbers are higher due to the way redappl splits vtds (it doesnt take into account turnout when disaggregating vtd data to the block level). if not, that's fine, but I'm trying to prevent the grousing about how low cds 10 and 21 are....

thanks

EO

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR, Document 117-5, Filed 08/05/11 Page 65 of 81

Filename: Re: political and hcvap reports.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com] Thursday, June 02, 2011 9:38 PM

Sent: To:

Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

Re: political and hovap reports

that's fine. thanks for trying.

eo

On Jun 2, 2011, at 9:36 PM, Gerardo Interiano wrote:

won't be able to get them tonight. I dont have RedAppl here at home and everyone at work is gone.

On Thu, Jun 2, 2011 at 9:33 PM, Eric Opiela < eopiela@ericopiela.com > wrote: gi-

could you please get me the political and hovap reports for c130, if possible tonight? I'm sending out a delegation update, and would rather provide the RedAppl political data rather than mine because your numbers are higher due to the way redappl splits vtds (it doesnt take into account turnout when disaggregating vtd data to the block level). if not, that's fine, but I'm trying to prevent the grousing about how low cds 10 and 21 are....

thanks

ΕO

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG IES XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 67 of 81

Filename: RE: CD 8- Woodlands.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Ryan Downton_HC [Ryan.Downton_HC@house.state.tx.us]

Sent:

Thursday, June 02, 2011 10:19 PM

To:

Gerardo Interiano; Eric Opiela

Cc:

Doug Davis

Subject:

RE: CD 8- Woodlands

If it was taken out, it wasn't intentional. But follow up with Doug on one specific request in that area.

From: Gerardo Interiano [ginteriano@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2011 8:13 PM

To: Eric Opiela

Cc: Doug Davis; Ryan Downton_HC
Subject: Re: CD 8- Woodlands

I just talked to Doug and suggested that he work with Eric to draft an amendment and have one of the Senators offer it in Committee. I think this was an oversight on our part. Ryan let me know if I'm missing anything.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2011, at 7:57 PM, Eric Opiela <eopiela@ericopiela.com> wrote:

> Heads up, I just got a call from Doug Centilli from Cong. Brady's office. The Woodlands was removed from CD 8 and put in CD 10 under Plan C130. Is the best way to fix this for Sen. Williams to offer an amendment to Plan C130 in committee tomorrow?

>

> EO=

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 69 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Texas State.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com] Thursday, June 02, 2011 10:43 PM

Sent:

To: Cc:

Gerardo Interiano Ryan Downton_HC

Subject:

Texas State

Did y'all intend to give Texas State University to Lamar?

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 71 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: political and hcvap reports.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Gerardo Interiano [ginteriano@gmail.com]

Sent:

Friday, June 03, 2011 8:10 AM

To:

Eric Opiela

Subject:

Re: political and hovap reports

Attachments:

C130-HCVAP.pdf; C130 - Statistics.xls

Here you go.

On Thu, Jun 2, 2011 at 9:33 PM, Eric Opiela < eopiela@ericopiela.com > wrote: gi-

could you please get me the political and hovap reports for c130, if possible tonight? I'm sending out a delegation update, and would rather provide the RedAppl political data rather than mine because your numbers are higher due to the way redappl splits vtds (it doesnt take into account turnout when disaggregating vtd data to the block level). if not, that's fine, but I'm trying to prevent the grousing about how low cds 10 and 21 are....

thanks

EO

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 73 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: C130-HCVAP.pdf

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

13805

Texns Legislative Council (NAB3711 K-04 AM: Page 1 of 1

Using Census, American Community Survey, and Voter Registration Data Hispanic Population Profile

Red-109 Data: 2005-2009 ACS, 2010 Census PLANCL30 (KA02/2011 6:26:20 PM

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC130

		2010 Census		2005-200	09 ACS St	2005-2009 ACS Special Tabulation	no no		2010 Ger	2010 General Election	
								Total Voter	Total Voter Registration	Non-Suspense V	Non-Suspense Voter Registration
District	Total	VAP	% HVAP	Citizen VAP	MOE	% HCVAP	MOE	Total	%SSVR	Total	%SSVR
1	698,488	523,361	12.4	468,890	(±6,535)	5.3	(+0.4%)	404,721	4.4	351,555	4.4
7	698,488	508,081	27.4	403,335	(±5,104)	16.7	(*0.6%)	366,529	14.1	320,348	14.0
m	698,487	500,097	12.8	413,455	(+4,582)	2.0	(+0.5%)	381,924	5.9	331,713	5.6
4	698,488	521,727	6.7	474,035	(±5,454)	4.9	(±0.4%)	414,051	3.7	360,516	3.7
٧,	698,488	511,388	21,2	434,025	(+5,724)	10.7	(+0.5%)	356,265	8.2	308,092	8.2
9	698,488	484,757	39.0	355,060	(±4.608)	25.0	(*8.0=)	314,579	6'61	272,982	20.3
7	698,488	518,945	25.7	403,045	(±5,014)	14.5	(969:07)	365,876	11.9	316,848	971
00	698,488	517,124	16.6	422,550	(±6,173)	8.7	(+0.5%)	376,746	7.2	326,889	7.1
6	698,488	498,416	35.8	332,695	(5315)	18.3	(+0.8%)	298,147	14.6	249,788	[4.8
01	698,487	511,940	23.5	407,225	(±5,020)	14.4	(+0.6%)	401,551	11.4	346,172	11.2
II	698,488	522,630	28.8	467,430	(±5.256)	23.1	(%9.0+)	395,682	19.8	343,482	5.61
12	698,488	504,836	1.61	418,360	(#5,111)	11.7	(*0.5%)	368,783	6.5	310,355	9.4
13	698,488	519,246	20.2	467,385	(#4,933)	14.3	(+0.5%)	401,955	871	342,791	11.5
14	698,488	523,376	18.9	469,200	(+5,940)	13.0	(±0.5%)	414,166	11.2	352,698	11.2
15	698,488	467,289	9.62	313,960	(±5,035)	73.6	(*6.0+)	291,127	689	253,602	69.3
91	698,488	490,902	8.67	353,964	(+4,896)	74.8	(±0.6%)	334,354	68.5	314,648	0.69
17	698,487	532,324	19.8	460,630	(±5,931)	13.6	(±0.5%)	369,918	11.2	307,847	0.11
18	698,488	517,170	33.0	398,160	(±6,541)	18.5	(±0.6%)	353,284	14.7	297,168	15.2
- 61	698,487	522,651	29.5	464,230	(±5,478)	25.4	(9,69.04)	385,094	23.1	330,554	22.9
20	698,488	509,364	61.5	421,060	(0.00,97)	57.8	(%8°0±)	354,159	50.0	289,718	50.5
21	698,488	553,173	23.1	482,880	(+5,699)	6.81	(+0.5%)	471,906	14.8	391,904	14.3
22	698,488	499,151	21.3	396,475	(+4,996)	16.2	(%9°0±)	381,053	13.9	333,350	13.8
33	698,488	497,953	63.7	380,895	(±5,491)	59.2	(+0.8%)	368,043	52.8	324,549	53.2
24	698,488	528,185	20.3	434,275	(±4,930)	12.2	(*6°7%)	379,416	0.6	309,304	9.6
25	698,487	521,165	15.6	455,405	(#6,181)	10.6	(*6.5%)	426,353	8.4	357,605	oci
26	698,488	496,836	23.3	391,705	(±5,643)	13.7	(%9.0±)	357,713	6.01	298,888	1.1
27	698,488	517,908	44.4	465,015	(+6,076)	40.3	(+0.7%)	408,301	36.0	345,039	36.0
28	698,488	471,910	75.4	330,220	(+4,879)	68.5	(±0.8%)	342,041	0.99	303,866	0.79
56	698,488	474,200	9.02	313,710	(±5,562)	54.3	(%6.0±)	246,394	50.0	215,368	51.4
30	698,488	490,357	35,6	389,080	(±5,752)	20.5	(%8.0±)	341,472	14.8	293,614	15.4
31	698,487	501,455	9'61	417,970	(+5,357)	15.0	(%9°0°F)	379,141	117	314,462	4.11
32	698,488	526,526	20.4	446,350	(15,198)	11.7	(%9.0±)	391,477	83	335,919	8.3
33	698,488	504,719	23.0	425,420	(+5,106)	13.4	(%9,04)	367,714	10.8	307,866	8.01
34	698,487	480,485	0.67	365,395	(±5,400)	71.8	(±0.8%)	327,401	71.3	290,392	72.1
35	698,488	498,374	57.7	393,665	(±5,865)	52.0	(%6'O+)	333,669	43.7	272,457	44.8
36	698,488	511,716	21.8	444,815	(+5,337)	12.9	(+0.5%)	391,751	111	342,173	11.0

The American Community Survey provided estimated citizen voting age population (CVAP) data at the black group level in a Special Tabulation. All block groups with more than 50% of the population in a district are included in the analysis. MOE = Margin of error at 90% confidence level ***
*** INVAP = Hispane voting age population / voting age population / citizen voting age voting a

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 75 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: C130 - Statistics.xls

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

RA-300		H			CONGR	CONGRESSIONAL - STRJC0	
Data: 2010 Censu	Data: 2010 Census; Non-Suspense VR					Pian Stats Report	
District	Total Pepulation	%Blac	%Black Voting Age	*Hispanic Voting Age Population	%Black+Hispanic Voting Age Population	%10G R Governor Perry	%10G R Attorney Gen Abbott
1	698 488		17.8%		30.0 %	66.1 %	74.7%
. (1)	698,488		12.9%	27.4 %	39.9%	%6.19	71.9%
c	698,487		8.6%	12.8%	21.1%	63.7 %	70.2 %
4	698,488		10.7%	9.7%	20.3 %	64.1 %	74.3 %
٧.	698,488		13.9%	21.2%	348%	58.8%	69.2%
9	698,488		11.4%	39.0 %	50.1%	55.6%	64.2 %
7	698,488		10.8 %	25.7%	36.0%	57.9%	70.0%
200	698,488		8.7%	16.6%	25.1 %	70.5 %	80.0%
6	698,488		37.6%	35.8 %	72.4 %	22.2%	27.7%
10	698,487		10.6%	23.5 %	33.7%	55.6%	%1.99
Ε	698,488		3.8 %	28.8%	32.4%	72.6%	81.9%
12	698,488		13.7%	191%	32.5%	56.7%	64.9 %
13	698,488		5.6%	20.2 %	25.6%	71.6%	81.8 %
14	698,488		20.0%	18.9%	38.6%	56.1 %	64.5 %
15	698,488		1.6%	79,6%	80.9%	43.3 %	51.3 %
91	698,488		3.6%	79.8 %	82.9%	35.7%	41.4%
11	698,487		13.0 %	19.8%	32.5%	55.7 %	67.3 %
ec	698,488		39.5 %	33.0 %	71.8%	19.4%	26.0%
61	698,487		6.2%	29.5 %	35.4%	68.0 %	79.4%
20	698,488		7.7%	61.5%	68.5 %	41.2%	52.1%
2]	698,488		3.7%	23.1%	26.6%	57.3 %	67.2%
22	698,488		11.6%	21.3%	32.5%	%0'19	70.6 %
13	698,488		3.0%	63.7%	66.3 %	53.3%	62.9%
24	698,488		10.3%	20.3 %	30.3 %	60.8%	68.0 %
25	698,487		8.2%	15.6%	23.5%	54.8 %	656%
26	698,488		9.2%	23.3%	32.3 %	61.5%	68.9 %
27	698,488		5.4%	44.4%	49.6%	57.4%	68.2%
282	698,488		4.1%	75.4%	79.2%	37.8%	46.2 %
29	698,488		13.5%	70.6%	83.5 %	28.9 %	36.6%
30	698,488		46.4 %	35.6%	81.5%	17.5%	21.6%
31	698,487		11.7%	19,6%	30.7%	58.2 %	68.5 %
32	698,488		11.9%	20.4%	32.0 %	55.5 %	62.7%
33	698,488		14.9%	23.0 %	37.5%	563%	64.6%
34	698,487		1.7%	79.0%	80.4%	41.9%	50.2 %
35	698,488		10.0%	57.7%	67.1%	39.6%	\$0.0%

1 2 3 4 5 6 6 %08G R President AtcCatin %08G R RR Comm 3 7 68.8 % 62.8 % 9 61.5 % 62.1 % 10 63.3 % 61.5 % 11 61.9 % 62.1 % 12 61.5 % 62.1 % 13 61.5 % 62.1 % 14 61.9 % 62.1 % 15 60.1 % 62.1 % 16 69.7 % 60.6 % 17 61.9 % 62.1 % 18 61.5 % 62.1 % 19 61.5 % 62.1 % 10 69.7 % 60.1 % 20 60.1 % 56.2 % 21 75.9 % 54.6 % 22 33.4 % 56.6 % 24 70.9 % 54.6 % 25 77.2 % 55.9 % 26 8.6 % 55.9 % 27 70.9 % 55.9 %		
9408G R President McCain 68.8 % 63.3 % 63.3 % 61.5 % 60.1 % 60.1 % 75.9 % 56.0 % 75.9 % 75.9 % 75.9 % 75.9 % 76.9		
9,08G R President McCain 68.8 % 63.3 % 63.3 % 61.5 % 60.1 % 50.7 % 50.0 % 55.0 % 56.0 % 75.9 % 56.0 % 75.9 % 56.0 % 76.9 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 76.2 % 56.0 % 56.0 % 56.0 % 76.0		Texas Legislative Council
9408G R President McCain 63.3% 63.3% 63.3% 63.3% 63.3% 69.7% 69.7% 75.9% 75.9% 75.9% 76.9%		06/03/11 8:09 AM
9408G R President McCain 68.8 % 63.3 % 63.3 % 61.5 % 60.1 % 60.1 % 73.9 % 73.9 % 73.9 % 74.9 % 74.9 % 75.9 % 76.9		
68.8% 63.3% 60.3% 60.7% 60.1% 55.0% 75.9% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 71.2% 40.7% 20.2% 55.9% 61.6% 51.9% 55.9% 56.9%	%08G R RR Comm 3	
63.3% 61.5% 69.7% 60.1% 55.0% 73.1% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 71.2% 40.7% 40.7% 55.9% 55	62.8 %	
61.5 % 69.7 % 69.7 % 69.7 % 60.1 % 73.1 % 73.1 % 76.9 % 56.6 % 76.9 % 76.9 % 56.6 % 76.9 % 56.6 % 71.2 % 40.4 % 55.9 %	619%	
69.7% 51.5% 50.1% 60.1% 60.1% 73.9% 56.6% 76.9% 77.2% 40.7% 40.7% 40.4% 51.9% 51.9% 51.9% 55.9% 56	62.1 %	
619% 57.5% 60.1% 73.1% 73.1% 73.2% 56.6% 56.6% 56.6% 76.9% 57.2% 40.7% 40.4% 55.9% 55.9% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 56.5%	% 9 09	
57.5 % 60.1 % 73.1 % 73.1 % 73.0 % 56.0 % 56.6 % 56.6 % 56.6 % 40.7 % 32.6 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 %	562%	
60.1 % 73.1 % 73.1 % 56.0 % 56.0 % 75.9 % 56.6 % 56.6 % 40.7 % 40.7 % 40.4 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.7 %	53.4 %	
73.1 % 23.0 % 56.0 % 56.0 % 75.9 % 56.6 % 76.9 % 32.6 % 32.6 % 32.6 % 33.6 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.9 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 33.8 % 33.8 % 33.8 %	61.3%	
23.0% 56.0% 75.9% 56.6% 76.9% 37.2% 40.7% 40.4% 55.9% 61.6% 51.9% 55.9% 51	69.4 %	
560% 759% 566% 769% 769% 320% 320% 404% 404% 559% 519% 559% 559% 5559% 5559% 5559% 5559% 339% 33	22.3.%	
75.9% 56.6% 76.9% 40.7% 32.6% 20.2% 20.2% 61.6% 61.6% 55.9% 55.9% 58.7% 58.7% 58.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 33.8% 33.8%	546%	
566% 769% 769% 57.2% 40.7% 20.2% 20.2% 20.2% 55.9% 55.9% 55.9% 55.9% 55.9% 55.9% 55.9% 55.9% 55.9% 38.8% 38.8%	70.1%	
769% 57.2% 40.7% 32.6% 58.0% 20.2% 71.2% 40.4% 55.9% 55.9% 58.4% 58.4% 58.4% 58.4% 58.4% 58.4% 58.4% 58.8% 58.8% 58.8%	545%	
57.2% 40.7% 32.6% 20.2% 40.4% 40.4% 55.9% 58.4% 58.4% 58.4% 58.4% 58.7%	69.5 %	
40.7% 32.6% 58.0% 71.2% 40.4% 61.6% 55.9% 55.9% 58.4% 58.4% 58.4% 58.7%	50.4 %	
32.6 % 58.0 % 20.2 % 71.2 % 40.4 % 55.9 % 61.6 % 51.9 % 58.7 % 58.7 % 38.4 % 38.8 % 38.8 % 38.8 %	36.6%	
58 0 % 20.2 % 40.4 % 40.4 % 55.9 % 61.6 % 51.9 % 58 7 % 58 7 % 38 4 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 55.7 % 38 8 % 38 8 %	29.3 %	
20.2% 71.2% 40.4% 55.9% 61.6% 51.9% 58.4% 58.7% 59.7% 58.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 38.8%	54.2 %	
71.2% 40.4% 55.9% 61.6% 51.9% 58.4% 55.7% 58.7% 17.6% 17.6% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 38.8%	20.4%	
40.4% 55.9% 61.6% 51.9% 58.4% 58.7% 58.7% 33.9% 17.6% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 38.8%	% 0.99	
55.9% 61.6% 51.9% 58.4% 55.9% 59.7% 33.8.4% 17.6% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 38.8%	37.5%	
61.6% 551.9% 55.9% 59.7% 58.7% 33.9% 17.6% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 38.8%	55.5 %	
51.9% 58.4% 55.9% 59.7% 58.7% 33.9% 17.6% 55.7% 55.1% 56.5% 38.8%	60.1%	
584% 559% 587% 587% 384% 339% 176% 557% 551% 565%	47.5 %	
55.9% 59.7% 38.7% 33.9% 17.6% 55.7% 55.7% 38.8%	58.3 %	
59.7% 58.7% 33.9% 17.6% 55.7% 55.7% 38.8%	53.0 %	
58.7% 33.9% 17.6% 55.7% 55.1% 38.8% 38.8%	58.3 %	
38.4% 33.9% 17.6% 55.7% 55.7% 56.5% 38.8%	51.8%	
33.9% 17.6% 55.7% 55.1% 56.5% 38.8%	33.1 %	
17.6% 55.7% 55.1% 56.5% 38.8% 38.1%	28.4 %	
55.7% 55.1% 56.5% 38.8% 38.1%	17.2%	
55 1 % 56.5 % 38.8 % 38.1 %	54.6%	
56.5% 38.8% 38.1%	562%	
38.8%	53.6%	
38.1%	33.5%	
	34.9%	
42 694% 591%	59.1%	

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 78 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: Texas State.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Gerardo Interiano [ginteriano@gmail.com] Friday, June 03, 2011 8:10 AM

Sent:

To:

Eric Opiela

Cc:

Ryan Downton_HC

Subject:

Re: Texas State

i'll defer to Ryan.

On Thu, Jun 2, 2011 at 10:42 PM, Eric Opiela <<u>eopiela@ericopiela.com</u>> wrote: Did y'all intend to give Texas State University to Lamar?

Case 5:11-cv-00360-OLG-JES-XR Document 117-5 Filed 08/05/11 Page 80 of 81 DOCUMENT INFO

Filename: Re: Texas State.msg

FOLDER#: Straus072611\Outlook Data

Eric Opiela [eopiela@ericopiela.com]

Sent:

Friday, June 03, 2011 8:11 AM

To: Cc: Gerardo Interiano

Subject:

Ryan Downton_HC Re: Texas State

He said no.

On Jun 3, 2011, at 8:10 AM, Gerardo Interiano wrote:

i'll defer to Ryan.

On Thu, Jun 2, 2011 at 10:42 PM, Eric Opiela < eopiela@ericopiela.com > wrote: Did y'all intend to give Texas State University to Lamar?